

# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

## **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

|  | <del></del> |                      |            |              |                   |
|--|-------------|----------------------|------------|--------------|-------------------|
| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR |            | AT           | TORNEY DOCKET NO. |
| 09/236,113   | 01/25/99    | SHI                  |            | X 1          | 581.0250001       |
| _  |             | IM52/0914            | _          | EXAMINER     |                   |
| STERNE KESSLER GOLDSTEIN & FOX<br>1100 NEW YORK AVENUE N W |             |                      | CANTELMO,G |              |                   |
| SUITE 600  |             |                      |            | ART UNIT     | PAPER NUMBER      |
| WASHINGTON I   | C 20005-393 | 34                   |            | 1753         | 12.               |
|  |             |                      |            | DATE MAILED: | 09/14/01          |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|  | 09/236,113   | SHI ET AL.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner   | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |  |
| TI- MAILING DATE AND STATE OF  | Gregg Cantelmo   | 1753   |  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply   | ears on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence address  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  | 66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on   | <u> </u>   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Thi  | s action is non-final.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under the second secon | nce except for formal matters, pr<br>Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4  | rosecution as to the merits is 53 O.G. 213.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application   | ,  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  | n from consideration.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |  | •  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> are subject to restriction and/or e  | lection requirement.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on   |  | ved by the Examiner.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep  | •  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa  | aminer.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)  | )-(d) or (f).  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of:   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents  |  | a a Ma   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents  |  | <del></del>  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori</li><li>application from the International Burn</li><li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of</li></ul>  | eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  | ŭ  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic   | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e   | e) (to a provisional application).   |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language prov<br>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic   | • •  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)   | 5) Notice of Informal F  | (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. Patent and Trademark Office   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

U.S.

Art Unit: 1753

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-16, drawn to an arc deposition source and method, classified in class 204, subclass 192.38.
  - II. Claims 17-21, drawn to a sputtering target, classified in class 204, subclass 298.13.
  - III. Claims 22-25, drawn to a process of making a graphite composition, classified in class 264, subclass 1+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made by a materially different process such as casting, injection molding, HIP, rolling, forging, standard pressure pressing, restrike pressing etc. Or the process can be made to form a graphite target having different particle size or in the presence of a binder.
- 3. Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention of the arc source and

Art Unit: 1753

method has separate utility such as a source or method for depositing other materials aside from the specific one set forth in group II such as other carbon-based targets, graphite-based targets, element-based targets or compound targets (such as titanium based arc targets). See MPEP § 806.05(d).

- 4. Inventions I and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions the process of making the target is unrelated to the apparatus and process of group I these processes are not capable of being used together and have different modes of operation and function.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group II is not required for Group I, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group III is not required for Groups I or II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 8. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/236,113

Art Unit: 1753

9. A telephone call was made to Mr. Albert L. Ferro on September 13, 2001 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

### Conclusion

10. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregg Cantelmo whose telephone number is 703-305-0635. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday, 8:0-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam Nguyen can be reached on 703-308-3322. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-0000 for regular communications and 703-305-3599 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

0000.

gc

September 13, 2001

nam <del>nguyé</del>n

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700